



Residential Development that respects the need to reduce land usage

I support Residential Development that respects the need to reduce land usage, but our villages are not places to intensify density. Future intensification needs to happen where it makes sense, supported by major transit like LRT.

I support the current urban boundary at this time. I believe our focus should be accounting for and developing available residential land within the existing urban boundary first before looking at further expansion into rural areas.

The necessity for any future expansion of the urban boundary into rural areas would need to be demonstrated beyond any doubt by data from our City staff before I would consider a change in position.

In the future as more industrial and commercial developments come onboard and our population grows, there may be a time when we, as a city, may have to review the current urban boundary, but that time is not now.

Eventually, if the Urban Boundary does expand, I would seek to have any new developments bring positive aspects to the affected residents with parkland and playgrounds, walking trails & bike paths, meadows and woodlands that would maintain ample green space for all.

[Back to Action Plans](#)